

SECRET

Integrity of Government Servants  
Watching of

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA  
General Administration Department,  
Circular No.CDR/2067/C-5790-D.I,  
Sachivalaya, Bombay-32 HR.  
Dated the 13th February 1968.

CIRCULAR OF GOVERNMENT

In Government Circular, General Administration Department, No.CDR/2065/Recommendation No.25-D-1, dated the 9th October 1965 read with Government Resolution, General Administration Department, No.CDR-2065-D-1, dated the 31st January, 1966 as amended by Government Circular, General Administration Department, No.CDR-2067-D-1, dated the 4th October, 1967, the Heads of Departments have been asked to ascertain the integrity of each Gazetted Officer working under them, draw up a list of those whose integrity is doubtful and to forward that list to Government in the administrative Department concerned for approval, as a part of their programme for eradication of corruption in their respective Departments. The question as to what action should be taken by the Heads of Departments after such lists are finally approved by Government and communicated to them was under the consideration of Government for some time. Government is now pleased to direct that on receipt of such lists the Heads of Departments should -

i) recommend to Government starting of open enquiries by the Anti-Corruption Bureau against officers in respect of whom there is specific information about lack of integrity.

ii) institute through the Anti-Corruption Bureau confidential enquiries in respect of the officers against whom specific information is lacking but whose reputation for integrity is bad and arrange a watch on them. For this purpose, the Anti-Corruption Bureau should be furnished with full particulars of the officers concerned in the accompanying proforma.

iii) recommend to Government taking of administrative action such as transfer, retirement ( if an officer has reached the age of 55 years or has completed 30 years of service) or other suitable action, against officers about whom specific information to justify open enquiries by the Anti-Corruption Bureau does not become available even after confidential enquiries and watching, but whose reputation for integrity is bad, and

iv) review the lists periodically and recommend to Government revision thereof by adding the names of those who have newly come to adverse notice and removing the names of those who have improved.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Maharashtra.

Sd/-  
( K.P. Nadkarni )  
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra,  
General Administration Department.

Encl : PROFORMA.

P.T.O.

To

The Secretary to the Governor,

The Private Secretary to the Chief Minister,

The Prothonatary and Senior Master, High Court,  
Bombay ( by letter ),

The Registrar, High Court, (Appeallate Side), Bombay  
(by letter),

All Secretaries to Government,

The Inspector General of Police, Maharashtra Stato,  
Bombay,

The Director, Anti-Corruption and Prohibition  
Intolligenc. Bureau, Bombay,

All Collectors,

All Other Heads of Departments under the several  
Departments of the Secretariat,

The Secretary, Stato Vigilance Commission, Bombay,

The Home Department,

All Other Departmonts of the Secretariat.

PROFORMA

1. Name of the Officer ( in full) -
2. Designation, present posting  
with date. -
3. Length of service -
4. Last place of posting -
5. Nature and type of complaints  
with facts in brief whether  
through -
  - (a) specific persons, orally  
or in writing.
  - (b) pseudonymous applications
  - (c) anonymous applications.
6. Other reasons why this officer  
is suspected (specially if  
information at item 5 is nil).
7. His 'modus operandi' or  
methods of obtaining money  
or other services.
8. Associates, if any, from the  
office or otherwise.
9. Whether this officer had come  
to adverse notice in his last  
posting. If so, brief history  
to be given.
10. Whether any action or measures  
were taken to correct him and  
with what result.